


**BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND ETHICS
STATE OF WYOMING**

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| An inquiry concerning |) | COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL |
| |) | CONDUCT AND ETHICS |
| The Honorable Ruth Neely |) | |
| |) | No. 2014-27 |
| Municipal Court Judge and |) | COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT |
| Circuit Court Magistrate |) | AND ETHICS |
| Ninth Judicial District |) | Official Record |
| Pinedale, Sublette County |) | FILED |
| |) | Date: 4/28/15 |
| |) |  |
| |) | Wendy J. Soto |

VERIFIED ANSWER

The Honorable Ruth Neely, Respondent, for her Verified Answer to the Notice of Commencement of Formal Proceedings (the "Notice") filed by the Commission on Judicial Conduct and Ethics (the "Commission"), states and alleges as follows:

1. Except as expressly admitted or otherwise specifically pleaded herein, Respondent denies each and every allegation in the Notice and puts the Commission to its strict proof thereof.
2. Respondent admits the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 1 of the Notice.
3. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 2 of the Notice:
 - a. Respondent admits that former Circuit Court Judge John Crow appointed her as a Circuit Court Magistrate with the authority to perform marriages;
 - b. Respondent admits that, upon his appointment to the bench, Circuit Court Judge Curt A. Haws appointed Respondent as a Circuit Court Magistrate;

- c. Respondent admits that since her initial appointment in or around 2001, she has performed numerous civil marriage ceremonies as a Circuit Court Magistrate; and
 - d. Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in Section A, Paragraph 2.
4. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 3 of the Notice:
- a. Respondent states that the case of *Guzzo v. Mead*, 2014 WL 5317797 (D. Wyo. 2014), speaks for itself; and
 - b. Respondent states that the remaining allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 3 do not call for a response, but to the extent that a response is deemed necessary, Respondent denies those allegations in their entirety.
5. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 4 of the Notice:
- a. Respondent admits that she was contacted by reporter Ned Donovan in December 2014;
 - b. Respondent admits that Ned Donovan made inquiries of her regarding the topic of same-sex marriage;
 - c. Respondent admits that she informed Ned Donovan that solemnizing same-sex marriages would violate her religious beliefs;
 - d. Respondent admits that she was quoted by Ned Donovan as saying: “When law and religion conflict, choices have to be made. I have not yet been asked to perform a same sex marriage”; and
 - e. Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in Section A, Paragraph 4.
6. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 5 of the Notice:

- a. Respondent admits that an article authored by Ned Donovan appeared in the Sublette Examiner on December 11, 2014;
 - b. Respondent admits that the article included the language that is quoted in Section A, Paragraph 4 of the Notice;
 - c. Respondent admits that similar reports may have appeared in other local publications; and
 - d. Respondent is without sufficient information to respond to the remaining allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 5 of the Notice and therefore denies those allegations.
7. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 6 of the Notice:
- a. Respondent admits that on or about January 15, 2015, Judge Haws suspended her from performing marriage ceremonies; and
 - b. Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in Section A, Paragraph 6.
8. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 7 of the Notice:
- a. Respondent admits that she voluntarily refrained from performing marriage ceremonies before Judge Haws suspended her from performing them;
 - b. Respondent states that the last marriage ceremony she performed occurred on December 31, 2014; and
 - c. Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in Section A, Paragraph 7.
9. With respect to the allegations contained in Section A, Paragraph 8 of the Notice:

- a. Respondent admits that, in response to an inquiry from the Commission, she cited her First Amendment rights and reiterated that solemnizing same-sex marriages would violate her religious beliefs; and
 - b. Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in Section A, Paragraph 8.
10. Respondent denies each and every allegation contained in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice.
 11. Respondent denies each and every allegation contained in Section B, Paragraph 2 of the Notice.
 12. Section C, Paragraph 1 of the Notice does not call for a response.
 13. Section D, Paragraph 1 of the Notice does not call for a response.

First Affirmative Defense

The Notice fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate Respondent's freedom-of-expression rights protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Third Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate Respondent's freedom-of-expression rights protected by Article 1, Section 20 of the Wyoming Constitution.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate Respondent's right to the free exercise of religion protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate Respondent's right to the free exercise of religion protected by Article 1, Section 18 and Article 21, Section 25 of the Wyoming Constitution.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, constitute a religious test in violation of Article VI, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, constitute a religious test in violation of Article 1, Section 18 and Article 21, Section 25 of the Wyoming Constitution.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

The provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice are vague and overbroad in violation of the First Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

The provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice are vague and overbroad in violation of Article 1, Sections 6, 7, and 20 of the Wyoming Constitution.

Tenth Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate Respondent's right to equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate Respondent's right to equal protection of the law under Article 1, Sections 2, 3, and 34 of the Wyoming Constitution.

Twelfth Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.


Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

Applying the provisions of the Wyoming Code of Judicial Conduct that the Commission cites in Section B, Paragraph 1 of the Notice would, under these circumstances, violate the state constitutional provisions that address the establishment of religion, including Article 1, Section 18 and Article 21, Section 25 of the Wyoming Constitution.

DATED this 27th day of April, 2015.

Respectfully Submitted,

By:



Herbert K. Doby

WSB # 5-2252

P.O. Box 130

Torrington, WY 82240

dobylaw@embarqmail.com

(307) 532-2700 Fax: (307) 532-2706

James A. Campbell*

Kenneth J. Connelly*

Douglas G. Wardlow*

Alliance Defending Freedom

15100 N. 90th Street

Scottsdale, AZ 85260

jcampbell@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

kconnelly@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

dwardlow@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

(480) 444-0020 Fax: (480) 444-0028

Attorneys for Respondent

**Pro Hac Vice Applications concurrently
filed*

VERIFICATION OF ANSWER

I, Ruth Neely, the undersigned, do hereby swear and affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the information contained in my Verified Answer to the Notice of Commencement of Formal Proceedings of the Commission on Judicial Conduct and Ethics is true and accurate.

Dated this 24th day of April, 2015.

Ruth Neely
Signature

INSTRUCTIONS TO NOTARY


This form must be the product of an oath, not merely an acknowledgment. Before the verification is signed you must:

1. Place the affiant under oath;
2. Ensure that the affiant understands that all assertions are sworn to as accurate and that the affiant is subject to the penalty of perjury for any false statement; and
3. Have the verification signed in your presence.

STATE OF WYOMING)
COUNTY OF Sublette) ss
)

Subscribed and sworn to me this 24 day of April, 2015.
By Ruth Neely.

[Signature]
Notary Public



My Commission Expires: 12-8-18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 27th day of April, 2015, I served the foregoing Verified Answer by placing a true and correct copy thereof in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to the following:

Wendy J. Soto
Executive Director
Commission on Judicial Conduct & Ethics
P.O. Box 2645
Cheyenne, WY 82003

Patrick Dixon, Esq.
Dixon & Dixon, LLP
104 South Wolcott Street, Suite 600
Casper, WY 82601



Herbert K. Doby